EXHIBIT E4

```
SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL CIVIL WEST
Coordinated Proceeding )Coordinated Case Special Title (Rule 3.550) )No. JCCP4674
LAOSD ASBESTOS CASES
                             )LASC Case No. BC646315
TINA HERFORD and
                              )Coordination Trial
DOUGLAS HERFORD,
                              )Judge:
                              )Hon. Steven J.
              Plaintiffs, )Kleifield, Dept. 324
          vs.
AT&T CORP., a subsidiary
of AT&T INC., et al.,
              Defendants.
            SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
           LAW DIVISION, MIDDLESEX COUNTY
STEPHEN LANZO, III, and
KENDRA LANZO,
              Plaintiffs,
                              ) DOCKET NO.
          vs.
                              )MID-L-7385-16AS
CYPRUS AMAX MINERALS
COMPANY, et al.,
              Defendants.
        DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM E. LONGO, PhD
                  August 23, 2017
        11555 Medlock Bridge Road, Suite 100
                Johns Creek, Georgia
        Debra R. Luther, RMR, CRR, CCR-B-881
              Atlanta Reporters, Inc.
         Georgia Certified Court Reporters
                    (866) 344-0459
             www.atlanta-reporter.com
```

August 23, 2017

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

	2 (Pages 2 to 5)				
	Page 2			Page 4	
1 2	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL On behalf of the Plaintiffs Tina Herford and Douglas Herford:	1 2	INDEX TO EXAMINA	ATIONS	
3	CHRIS PANATIER, Esq. Simon Greenstone Panatier Bartlett, PC 3232 McKinney Avenue	3 4	Examination	Page	
5	Suite 610 Dallas, Texas 75204	5	Examination by Mr. Calfo	14	
6 7	cpanatier@sgpblaw.com	6	Examination by Mr. Benoff	125	
8	On behalf of the Plaintiffs Stephen Lanzo, III, and Kendra Lanzo:	7	Examination by Ms. Harirchi	226	
9	JÓSEPH D. SATTERLEY, Esq. Kazan, McClain, Satterley & Greenwood	8	Examination by Mr. Panatier	230	
10	55 Harrison Street Suite 400	9			
11	Oakland, California 94607 jsatterley@satterleylaw.com	$\begin{vmatrix} 10 \\ 11 \end{vmatrix}$			
12 13	On behalf of the Defendant	$\begin{vmatrix} 11\\12 \end{vmatrix}$			
14	Johnson & Johnson (Herford):	13			
15	ALEXANDER G. CALFO, Esq. King & Spalding, LLP	14			
16	633 West Fifth Street Suite 1700	15			
17	Los Angeles, California 90071 acalfo@kslaw.com	16			
18	(Appearance by telephone)	17			
19	ADAM REINKE, Esq. King & Spalding, LLP	18	(A 4:-1-(-)	a al Essibilita 1	
20	1180 Peachtree Street, NE Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3521 areinke@kslaw.com	$\begin{vmatrix} 19 \\ 20 \end{vmatrix}$	(A disk(s) containing origi through 18 will be attached to		
21	JULIA ROMANO, Esq.	$\begin{vmatrix} 20 \\ 21 \end{vmatrix}$	transcript, the originals having		
22	King & Spalding, LLP 633 West Fifth Street	$\begin{vmatrix} 21 \\ 22 \end{vmatrix}$	to Dr. Longo. Exhibits 3, 7, an		
23	Suite 1700 Los Angeles, California 90071	23	produced to the court reporter		
24	jromano@kslaw.com (Appearance by telephone)	24	production and not attached.)		
25	(4f)	25			
	Page 3			Page 5	
1 2	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (Continued) On behalf of the Defendant	1 2	INDEX TO EXHIBITS		
3	Imerys (Herford):		Defendant's		
4	TODD B. BENOFF, Esq. Alston & Bird, LLP	3 4	Exhibit Description Page		
5	333 South Hope Street Sixteenth Floor	5	1 Curriculum vitae 27		
6	Los Angeles, California 90071 todd.benoff@alston.com	6	2 Testimony list 27		
7	On behalf of the Defendant	7	3 (Not marked) 28		
8	Shulton, Inc. (Herford): M. JAMES DEMPSEY, Esq.	8	4 Five high-resolution photographs 45		
10	Cosmich, Simmons & Brown, PLLC 34 Millbranch Road	9	5 Talc Size Distribution of Johnson & 45 Johnson and Valeant Shower to		
11	Suite 70 Hattiesburg, Mississippi 39042	10	Shower Amphibole Positive Samples (Longo and Rigler, 8/21/2017)		
12	jimmy@cs-law.com	11	6 Project COC, chain of custody, 46 purchase order, receipt,		
13	On behalf of the Defendants Calaveras Asbestos, Ltd. (Herford):	12	photographs		
14	N. NIKKI HARIRCHI, Esq.	13 14	7 (Not marked) 51 8 (Not marked) 61		
15	Foley & Mansfield, PLLP 300 South Grand Avenue	15	9 Analysis of Johnson & Johnson Baby 62 Powder and Valeant Shower to Shower		
16	Suite 2800 Los Angeles, California 90071	16	Talc Products for Amphibole (Tremolite) Asbestos (Longo and		
17	nharirchi@foleymansfield.com (Appearance by telephone)	17 18	Rigler, 8/2/2017) 10 CDs: Johnson & Johnson Testing 67		
18 19	On behalf of the Defendant	19	Binders 1 - 3		
20	Revlon, Inc.; Yves San Laurent America, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company; and	20	11 FDA 1973 - 1977 retesting of Lewin 69 samples		
21	Elizabeth Arden, Inc. (Herford):	21	12 5/17/1977 Minutes of the CTFA Task 69 Force on Round-Robin Testing of		
22	EDWARD R. ULLOA, Esq. Hawkins, Parnell, Thackston & Young, LLP	22	Consumer Talcum Products for Asbestiform Amphibole Minerals		
22	445 South Figueroa Street Suite 3200	23	•		
23					
23	Los Angeles, California 90071-1651 eulloa@hptylaw.com	24	13 Report of Results MVA 11730, 69 Investigation of Italian Talc Samples for Asbestos (Compton,		

9 (Pages 30 to 33)

Page 30 Page 32 where I actually do deposition or trial is on behalf 1 1 generally? 2 of plaintiffs. 2 A. That's what generally the thought process 3 Q. Okay. And just so we -- I'm sure you 3 is. Certainly if you look at Gouverneur talc, Nytal 4 testified to this in the past, but can you tell us 4 has significant amounts of tremolite, anthophyllite, 5 what percentage of your cases that you've testified 5 getting away from the argument of asbestiform and in through deposition or trial are on behalf of 6 6 nonasbestiform, those can be routinely in the higher 7 plaintiffs? 7 percentages. But I guess it depends on the mine and 8 A. What I've testified in the past, I have 8 what's industrial versus what's not industrial. 9 9 not added it up, but I would say approximately 90 to And again, I may have to rethink that. 10 95 percent of the time when I'm testifying either in 10 You have some industrial talc analysis for tremolite 11 deposition or trials is on behalf of plaintiffs. So 11 and you have -- as compared to the cosmetic talc in 12 12 I don't have the exact number. these documents, and the concentrations weren't that 13 Q. And then if we look at Exhibit 2, your 13 much different for the tremolite and/or the 14 list, which of those cases involved allegations 14 chrysotile found in at least the Vermont mines. 15 related to talc? 15 MR. CALFO: I'm going to move to strike 16 16 A. I don't know. everything after the word and again, but that's 17 okay. 17 Q. Are there any? 18 A. I have testified in talc cases before, not 18 Q. (By Mr. Calfo) If you look at your 19 in cosmetic talc but primarily industrial talc, 19 Exhibit 2, can you tell me which of the cases on 20 typically involved in Vanderbilt. 20 Exhibit 2 that you've testified in involve cosmetic 21 Q. I didn't hear the last part. 21 talcum powder products? A. Typically the Vanderbilt mines, or the 22 22 A. I think that we've already discussed that. industrial talc mines. 23 23 I don't recall ever testifying in a cosmetic talc Q. Okav. 24 24 case other than a deposition I had when I was there 25 A. But I don't know which ones those are. 25 on behalf of a defendant, Scotts Turf Builder Page 31 Page 33 1 Q. And you're a materials scientist? fertilizer, and I was just only produced for our 2 A. Yes, sir. 2 analysis of that. And Moshe Maimon from Levy, 3 Q. And as a materials scientist tell us what 3 Phillips was there on behalf of the plaintiff, and 4 your knowledge is in terms of the difference between 4 since he was crossing me and after he got my opinions 5 industrial talc and cosmetic talcum powder. 5 about Scotts Turf Builder, an individual -- and I 6 A. Typically what I've seen in the past, what 6 can't think of his name -- for Colgate, started 7 others have testified to, is the industrial talc 7 questioning me about cosmetic talc, even though I 8 typically has a larger particle size, usually more 8 wasn't there to testify about cosmetic talc. And 9 9 fibrous, and the prevailing thought at the time was that's the only time --10 that it would have higher concentrations of 10 Q. And what was the name of that case? 11 amphiboles. 11 A. I don't recall. 12 That's not consistent with some of the J&J 12 Q. If you look at your list of Exhibit 2, 13 13 would that refresh your memory? documents where they have looked at both industrial 14 versus cosmetic in some of the later reports. But 14 MR. PANATIER: I bet you, Alex -- I kind 15 that's usually what is the thought process, is that 15 of know about this. I'll look at the report. 16 If it was before 2013 or after -- if it was you're not grinding it down to the size necessary for 16 17 the size distribution in industrial talc used in 17 before 2013, it's not on here. I think it was 18 things like joint compound, sometimes gaskets, 18 either Kenzig, Fishbain, or Diaz filed in either 19 sometimes paint, et cetera, where the cosmetic talc 19 New Jersey or New York. 20 20 MR. CALFO: Okay. is specifically designed to be applied to the human 21 21 MR. PANATIER: And it was Morgan Tovey who body. 22 22 Q. And so just generally, let me just get was doing the questioning. 23 this generally. Generally industrial talc has larger 23 Q. (By Mr. Calfo) So let me just ask you 24 24 particle size, it's more fibrous, and it has higher this, sir. How many cases have you testified in concentrations of amphiboles; is that right, where plaintiffs alleged they developed mesothelioma

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

Page 36 Page 34 I can't talk about them. as a result of their exposure to cosmetic talc 2 2 But this would be the first deposition 3 A. Where actually I was hired as an expert in 3 specifically involving a matrix of talc looking for 4 and determining if there's any trace levels of that case? 5 5 O. Yes. amphiboles -- detectable trace levels of amphiboles 6 6 in the samples we looked at versus other types of A. These would be the first two. Well --7 both -- yeah, these would be the first two. samples where we have looked at different matrices 8 8 where we are determining if there's trace amounts of Q. And is Herford, the one we're here for 9 9 today, is that the first case you've ever testified amphiboles present. 10 against Johnson & Johnson with respect to cosmetic 10 Q. And I know you don't want to talk about 11 your other matter, but when did you test the talcum 11 12 MR. SATTERLEY: Object to the form of the 12 powder for that other product unrelated to Johnson & 13 13 14 THE WITNESS: And just because I'm picky, 14 A. I think there's been a couple, but it's 15 I don't testify for or against anybody. I just 15 been within the last six months or seven months or 16 provide the opinions of what I find and based 16 so. 17 on --17 Q. Have you had your deposition taken in 18 either of those instances? O. (By Mr. Calfo) Let me rephrase it, then, 18 19 so you're comfortable with it. 19 A. No, sir. If I did, I could talk about it. 20 A. Hold on -- on my background, training, and 20 Q. Can you tell us who the plaintiff lawyers 21 analysis. And as far as I know, this would be the 21 were? 22 22 first time that Johnson & Johnson is going to be A. I prefer not to because I'm not an 23 asking me questions about my work. 23 attorney and I don't know what opens the door or not 24 Q. Okay. Do you know who other defendants 24 opens the door. It's certainly nothing that I'm 25 are in the Herford case other than Johnson & Johnson? 25 relying on in this matter or that any results of Page 35 Page 37 1 A. I do not. those studies affects any of my opinions in this 2 Q. And let me just be clear. Is this 2 matter. And I prefer to keep it confidential since 3 August 2nd, 2017, report that you prepared, which I 3 they're not here, I don't know if they want their received two days ago, was that the first time you 4 names spoken or not about it, so I just can't talk tested a cosmetic talcum powder product for what 5 about it. But I don't want you to think that this is might be a potential asbestos contamination? 6 the only time within the last year that we have 7 A. This would be the first time that we 7 analyzed talc samples. looked for -- to determine trace amounts of amphibole 8 MR. PANATIER: And just for the record, 9 tremolite in a cosmetic talc versus the hundred times 9 I'll just place an objection that the question 10 that we have looked for trace amounts of amphibole in 10 violates the attorney work product privilege because as far as I know, any of that work that 11 other products, primarily the source being the 11 12 chrysotile in the products. 12 has been done has been done on a consulting 13 So this type of analysis is not new to us. 13 expert basis. 14 It's just a change or difference in the matrix 14 Q. (By Mr. Calfo) Well, let me move on. I 15 material that we're looking in. 15 just want to -- let's keep moving, then. 16 Q. I understand. But the bottom line is this Have you ever tested a cosmetic talcum 16 17 was the first time you tested a cosmetic talcum 17 powder product for potential asbestos contamination 18 powder product for potential asbestos contamination; 18 outside of litigation? 19 19 A. I don't believe so. A. You know, I can't say that without just 20 20 Q. Okay. Your report for this case, it's

thinking we have looked at another type of cosmetic

talc, it's my understanding, that's not Johnson &

for that deposition, and my client or clients on a

couple of those are not here for their interests, so

Johnson. We've issued a report. But I'm not here

21

22

23

24

entitled Analysis of Johnson & Johnson Baby Powder

and Valeant Shower to Shower Talc Products for

Amphibole (Tremolite) Asbestos; is that right?

And it's a 25-page report with

A. That is correct.

21

22

23

24

25

August 23, 2017

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

```
Page 38
                                                                                                                        Page 40
     attachments, which I received on Monday. You have
                                                                  1
                                                                         talk about this off the record.
     attachments to your report; right?
                                                                  2
                                                                             MR. PANATIER: Alex, this is Chris. To be
 3
        A. Yes, sir.
                                                                  3
                                                                         clear, in Herford we also uploaded for you all
 4
        Q. Okay. And when I looked at your report on
                                                                  4
                                                                         of the Johnson & Johnson documents that we gave
 5
     Monday, I noted there were 679 pages in total, if you
                                                                  5
                                                                         to Dr. Longo. So you have that too.
 6
     include the attachments. So you have a 25-page
                                                                  6
                                                                             MR. CALFO: Fair enough. Fair enough.
                                                                  7
 7
     report with attachments that total about 679 pages;
                                                                         And I've always had those; right?
 8
     would that be fair, sir?
                                                                  8
                                                                             MR. PANATIER: Right, of course.
 9
                                                                  9
                                                                         Q. (By Mr. Calfo) Okay. So I don't have the
        A. That's fair.
10
        Q. Now, here's my confusion, because I
                                                                 10
                                                                      quality photos that you have brought with you today,
                                                                      sir, but just tell me generally what are they and how
11
     understand there are new documents that I don't have.
                                                                 11
12
     Other than the 25-page report and the 679 pages total
                                                                 12
                                                                      do they bear on your opinions that you're going to
13
     that we've received, what else do you have that you
                                                                 13
                                                                      render in the Herford case?
14
     brought with you today?
                                                                 14
                                                                         A. They're a documentation of the containers
15
        A. I brought better quality photographs of
                                                                 15
                                                                      of talcum powder that we analyzed that shows top,
16
     each of the specimen container samples that show top,
                                                                 16
                                                                      bottom, and all four sides for each of the 30
17
     bottom -- six sides that are of higher quality than
                                                                 17
                                                                      samples. And other than saying this is what we
18
                                                                 18
     the one page in the book.
                                                                      analyzed and this is the container as received, it
19
                                                                 19
            And also I brought with me today a
                                                                      doesn't really have any other impact on my testimony.
20
                                                                 20
     particle size analysis that was run on our scanning
                                                                             MR. PANATIER: And just to be clear,
21
     electron microscope to compare the size distributions
                                                                 21
                                                                         because I think he asked for all the photos,
                                                                 22
                                                                         there's some of the fiber photos as well that
22
     of the talc particles as well as any fibrous
23
                                                                 23
                                                                         are high-res photos.
     particles in there as compared to what I would call a
24
     control, which is essentially a current version of
                                                                 24
                                                                             THE WITNESS: Oh, correct. A couple of
25
     Johnson's Baby Powder that was bought at a local
                                                                 25
                                                                         the samples we took -- because there was visible
                                                      Page 39
                                                                                                                       Page 41
 1
     store here so that I could see how the size particles
                                                                  1
                                                                         amphiboles in the SEM, we took some high
 2
                                                                  2
     compare from sample to sample to sample as
                                                                         resolution just to show the structure of
 3
     well as what Johnson & Johnson is selling today --
                                                                  3
                                                                         actually at the high resolution because of this
 4
                                                                  4
                                                                         instrument, they're bundles versus just a fiber,
     well, not today but within the last three or four
 5
                                                                  5
     months.
                                                                         which that's what it would look like mostly on
 6
            MR. SATTERLEY: And let me just -- the
                                                                  6
                                                                         TEM, just some representative fibers from a
 7
        Lanzo file and folders and binders and things
                                                                  7
                                                                         couple of the positive samples.
 8
        are here as well; he brought those as well.
                                                                  8
                                                                         Q. (By Mr. Calfo) All right. So bottom
 9
            MR. CALFO: Okay. Like I said, I just
                                                                  9
                                                                      line, what did the photos show in supporting your
10
        don't know anything about Lanzo, so I'm sorry.
                                                                 10
                                                                      opinions in this case?
11
        Thanks for putting it on the record.
                                                                 11
                                                                         A. Well, the few fibers --
12
            MR. SATTERLEY: It was produced to
                                                                 12
                                                                         O. Because I haven't seen them.
13
        Johnson & Johnson last week, so I'm sorry that
                                                                 13
                                                                         A. The few amphibole fibers that we
14
        your client didn't share it with you. But if
                                                                 14
                                                                      documented are consistent with what we found in the
15
        you got this information on Monday in the
                                                                 15
                                                                      transmission electron microscope as well as shows
16
        California case, I assume Johnson & Johnson
                                                                 16
                                                                      more of the surface features of these fibers where
17
        lawyers in Lanzo didn't share it with you on
                                                                 17
                                                                      you can -- what look as a fiber showing bundles, and
18
        Friday.
                                                                 18
                                                                      bundles by definition are asbestiform, because you
19
            MR. CALFO: The only thing I got is -- is
                                                                 19
                                                                      cannot fracture a blocky material and get numerous
20
        this Mr. Satterley?
                                                                 20
                                                                      fibers stacked on top of each other, and it just
21
            MR. SATTERLEY: It is.
                                                                 21
                                                                      shows some of the asbestiform tremolite fibers that
22
                                                                 22
            MR. CALFO: All I received on Monday was a
                                                                      were found in a couple of those samples.
                                                                         Q. How many photos do you have, sir, that you
23
        25-page report with 679 pages. That's all I
                                                                 23
24
        got. And I haven't spoken with anyone because I
                                                                 24
                                                                      brought with you today?
        thought that was all we were doing. So we can
                                                                 25
                                                                         A. I have five.
```

August 23, 2017

28 (Pages 106 to 109)

Page 106 Page 108 A. No, sir. It was a different manufacturer. 1 Q. Do you agree that tremolite can exist as 1 2 However, the results are consistent with our results 2 nonasbestos? 3 and with others. I wouldn't expect the J&J to behave 3 A. I would agree that the tremolite can be 4 any differently with a similar cosmetic type talc 4 nonasbestiform so --5 5 with contamination or trace levels of amphiboles as O. In other words there's --6 was found in the samples they tested. 6 MR. SATTERLEY: He's not --7 Q. Can you cite for me any peer-reviewed 7 MR. PANATIER: Hold on. 8 epidemiology study that concludes that exposure to 8 THE WITNESS: So I can't agree that any 9 9 nonasbestiform amphibole minerals increases a mine or any area that has, quote, 10 person's risk of developing cancer? 10 nonasbestiform, depending on who defines it, there's not going to be asbestiform, according 11 A. I'm not aware of any epi studies in which 11 12 they exposed humans just to nonasbestiform, because to who defines it. 12 13 you typically will get some asbestiform along with 13 You can look at some individuals' 14 the nonasbestiform. I don't know how much was 14 definition of asbestiform which essentially 15 published of miners. And I'm not an epidemiologist, 15 would eliminate all the exposures from Libby, 16 so that's not an area I testify about. Montana. Now, I'm not a medical doctor, but I 16 17 And I don't testify about the hazards of 17 don't think there's much disagreement that those 18 tremolite, richterite, winchite exposures have asbestos exposure, so I'm not here to say that any of 18 19 been killing people in Libby, Montana, for a lot these results one way or the other are hazardous or 19 20 nonhazardous. 20 of years. But they may not meet the definition 21 I'm just saying if you look at the data, 21 of some folks's description of what asbestiform 22 22 you look at all the testing, you look at our testing, is and nonasbestiform. 23 you look at others' testing, that there is an 23 MR. CALFO: I'm going to move to strike to 24 exposure level for the use of these cosmetic talcs 24 the extent it's nonresponsive. 25 that have amphibole contamination. Is that exposure 25 Q. (By Mr. Calfo) Let me ask you this. Do Page 107 Page 109 you agree there's both asbestiform tremolite and also 1 level harmful and causes cancer or not? That's not 2 2 my area. Others can argue about that. nonasbestiform tremolite? 3 Q. All right. Because you mentioned 3 A. I will agree. 4 significant exposure. I didn't know what you meant 4 Q. And do you agree that nonasbestiform 5 by that. But that's not what you're going to be 5 tremolite is common? 6 talking about; right? 6 A. Again, what do you mean by common? 7 A. Well, when I say significant exposure, 7 Q. How about the word ubiquitous. Wouldn't 8 it's over background. And background levels for 8 you agree that nonasbestiform tremolite is 9 tremolite anthophyllite are zero. So any detection 9 ubiquitous? 10 of airborne -- in my opinion, any detection of any 10 MR. PANATIER: I'll object to form. THE WITNESS: Well, that tells me that you 11 concentration of airborne tremolite anthophyllite, 11 unless you're living in Libby, Montana, when they're can go out anywhere and find nonasbestiform 12 12 13 working that mine, is going to be above background, 13 tremolite in any sample. I don't know if you 14 and that would be significant in my definition. 14 can do that. 15 Q. What in layman's term is tremolite? 15 I will agree with you that tremolite contamination, you can find both asbestiform and 16 A. In layman's term? 16 nonasbestiform, cleavage fragments, true 17 Q. Yes. 17 18 18 cleavage fragments, and typically -- that don't A. It is an asbestos -- a regulated asbestos 19 fiber so that the exposure to tremolite-actinolite, 19 meet the definition of a regulated fiber. 20 the tremolite series, is one of the types of 20 Certainly would agree with that. Q. (By Mr. Calfo) So let me ask it very 21 regulated asbestos fibers that OSHA, EPA, are 21 22 easily. True or false: nonasbestiform tremolite is 22 concerned about.

I don't know what a layman's term really

means. It's an asbestos -- regulated asbestos

23

24

mineral.

MR. PANATIER: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know how you

23

24

25

ubiquitous?

29 (Pages 110 to 113)

Page 110 Page 112 1 define -and the EPA believes is appropriate to count for 2 Q. (By Mr. Calfo) True or false? 2 asbestos. A. It is true if it is in an area that has 3 3 At the high magnifications, if it's a 4 tremolite contamination. That is false if you're 4 single fiber, at least somebody who is knowledgeable, 5 5 that you cannot tell exactly if it's asbestiform or looking at ubiquitous as that's everywhere. 6 O. True or false: nonasbestiform tremolite in 6 nonasbestiform. 7 7 the world, there's more of it than asbestiform However, where you have a bundle of 8 8 tremolite? tremolite, where you have more than two or more 9 9 MR. PANATIER: Same objection. parallel fibers that are touching, typically it's 10 THE WITNESS: That, I can't answer true or 10 more than that, that is an asbestiform material, false because I don't have that information to 11 11 because you cannot have cleavage fragments that are 12 12 breaking from rock and then stack up precisely in validate that. 13 long fibers on top of each other. That doesn't 13 Q. (By Mr. Calfo) In your report you mention 14 tremolite. What did you do to confirm that what you 14 happen. 15 were seeing in your analysis was tremolite? 15 Q. Is there anything else you can tell us 16 A. It had at least a 5:1 aspect ratio, it had 16 about what you did to determine whether the tremolite 17 the chemistry by energy dispersive EDXA, and it also 17 you were seeing is asbestiform versus nonasbestiform? A. I didn't call anything asbestiform or 18 had the typical amphibole diffraction for the 18 19 intercrystalline spacing of somewhere in the 5.2 to 19 nonasbestiform, but I've just given you, if asked, 20 20 that would be what I would state, by looking at the 5.3, had the amphibole diffraction pattern, and 21 therefore it was called either tremolite, either 21 photographs and looking is it single fiber versus a 22 22 bundle. winchite, either richterite, I think we found 23 23 actinolite in one sample. I would be hesitant to call it asbestiform 24 24 So we confirmed that as specified by the or nonasbestiform if it meets the definition of both 25 **Environmental Protection Agency in their TEM** 25 the Environmental Protection Agency and/or OSHA as Page 111 Page 113 analysis, as specified in OSHA 7402 analysis, as 1 what's defined as a fiber that they regulate. 1 2 specified in some of the ISO protocols, so we 2 Q. Okay. So let me -- I'm just trying to 3 confirmed it as specified by government regulations 3 figure out where I'm at with this. 4 on what to call tremolite asbestos versus something 4 So you went to the level of the TEM and 5 else. 5 you looked at photos and you determined that there's 6 a single fiber versus bundle. Is there anything Q. Okay. So is there anything else that you 6 7 did to rule out that what you're seeing is tremolite 7 else -- and it's not what you know. I just want to 8 as opposed to another mineral? 8 know what else you did. 9 A. Well, other than that it was fibrous, it 9 MR. PANATIER: I'll just object to asked 10 had the appropriate diffraction pattern, it had the 10 and answered. spot-on microchemistry of a fiber, no. 11 11 THE WITNESS: I mean, we've already gone 12 Q. What did you do to determine whether the over how we identify tremolite versus actinolite 12 13 tremolite you claim you have seen is asbestiform 13 versus talc fibers. But for me to sit here and 14 versus nonasbestiform? 14 say this is an asbestiform and this is 15 A. Well, that's a good question. At the 15 nonasbestiform, using a TEM, you can't say a 16 level of TEM, if you have that it meets the single fiber one way or the other, but you can 16 17 definition, at least by EPA, that it has a 5:1 aspect 17 on bundles. 18 ratio with parallel sides and it's a single fiber, 18 Q. (By Mr. Calfo) Do you agree that 19 you cannot determine if it's asbestiform or 19 nonasbestiform amphiboles have properties that are 20 nonasbestiform. 20 very similar to their asbestos counterparts? 21 What you can say is that it meets the 21 A. They all have the same chemistry; they all 22 federal regulation or definition by the Environmental 22 have the same diffraction patterns. The 23 Protection Agency or it is a single fiber that's 23 nonasbestiforms will be shards with nonparallel

greater than 5 micrometers and the width of it is

greater than .2, it meets the definition of what OSHA

24

sides, typically. But the properties --

analytically, they would look the same.

24

August 23, 2017

30 (Pages 114 to 117)

```
Page 114
                                                                                                                        Page 116
        Q. Well, you're familiar with the Yamate
                                                                    1
                                                                              Go ahead.
 1
                                                                   2
 2
     method; right?
                                                                          Q. (By Mr. Calfo) Okay. Well, let me ask
 3
        A. I am.
                                                                   3
                                                                       you. Did you set out to follow the Yamate method?
 4
                                                                   4
                                                                          A. We set out to follow the AHERA counting
        Q. And you agree the Yamate method is
 5
                                                                   5
                                                                       rules, the identification as specified in AHERA, and
     reliable and authoritative, don't you?
        A. For the time, absolutely.
                                                                   6
                                                                       that coincides with the Yamate -- or the EPA
 6
 7
                                                                   7
        Q. And do you know the three levels of
                                                                       Level II.
 8
     analysis under the Yamate method?
                                                                   8
                                                                              We did not set out to use the zone axis
 9
                                                                   9
        A. I do. George Yamate used to work for me.
                                                                       diffraction requirement in the Level III for the
10
                                                                  10
        Q. Okay. And did you use the Yamate method
                                                                        reasons I've already stated.
11
     when you did your analysis?
                                                                  11
                                                                              So when you say you did not follow the
                                                                  12
12
        A. We used the AHERA sizing method and what
                                                                       Yamate method, the Yamate method and the AHERA method
13
                                                                  13
     AHERA states to confirm. If you want to look at the
                                                                       are literally -- the Yamate method Level II is
14
     Yamate method on what is the appropriate method for
                                                                  14
                                                                       literally identical to the AHERA method.
                                                                  15
15
     this analysis, it would be a Level II. Of course,
                                                                              So we reference the AHERA method in the
16
     what you're getting at is the zone axis requirement
                                                                  16
                                                                       report. We did not reference the Yamate Level II, I
17
                                                                  17
     for amphiboles in Level III.
                                                                        don't believe, but they're literally the same.
18
                                                                  18
                                                                          Q. Can we agree that you did not, either way,
            Because I have had George Yamate work for
19
                                                                  19
                                                                       conduct a detailed zone axis SAED analysis?
     me, George and I had discussions about the zone axis.
20
                                                                  20
                                                                          A. That's correct; we did not.
     And at the time that the Level III was put out, the
                                                                          Q. All right. Now, let me ask you a few
21
     EDXA or how we used to call the EDS detectors weren't
                                                                  21
                                                                  22
22
                                                                       questions about your report.
     nearly as sensitive, there nearly wasn't enough
                                                                  23
                                                                              At page 3 of 25, you state 17 samples were
23
     round-robins, they didn't have the controls and
                                                                  24
24
                                                                       found to contain detectable amounts of amphibole
     standards, that's why he stated that.
                                                                  25
25
            But if George Yamate was alive today, he
                                                                       asbestos --
                                                      Page 115
                                                                                                                        Page 117
 1
     could testify that with the equipment we have today,
                                                                   1
                                                                           A. Correct.
 2
                                                                   2
     we don't need zone axis diffraction to identify
                                                                           Q. -- tremolite series and
 3
     tremolite, anthophyllite, actinolite, richterite,
                                                                   3
                                                                        ferro-anthophyllite.
 4
                                                                   4
                                                                              Do you see that?
     winchite. And that's why it's not required for any
 5
                                                                   5
                                                                           A. Yes.
     of the current methods both by EPA as well -- people
 6
     are more than welcome to do it, but it's not needed.
                                                                   6
                                                                           Q. How do you define amphibole asbestos?
 7
         Q. That's great. Let me just ask you this,
                                                                           A. That it's any of the regulated amphiboles
 8
     very simple question.
                                                                   8
                                                                        that are asbestos as well as some of the
 9
            Is it fair to say that your analysis did
                                                                   9
                                                                        potassium-rich and sodium-rich tremolite fibers that
10
     not strictly follow the Yamate method? True or
                                                                  10
                                                                        EPA is now calling winchite and richterite.
11
                                                                              I know technically that's not a regulated
     false?
                                                                  11
            MR. PANATIER: I'm going to object to
12
                                                                  12
                                                                       asbestos fiber from the winchite -- tremolite to
13
                                                                  13
                                                                        winchite and richterite, but I don't believe there's
        form.
14
            THE WITNESS: That's too broad for me to
                                                                  14
                                                                        any argument that that is problematic and of course
15
         say true or false. It certainly followed the
                                                                  15
                                                                        is of great concern to EPA and its Superfund site.
16
        Level II. And, no, we did not do zone axis
                                                                  16
                                                                        So I'm calling them amphibole asbestos, and these are
17
        diffraction patterns because of the reasons I've
                                                                  17
                                                                        the amphiboles that I found.
18
        already stated.
                                                                  18
                                                                           Q. All right. And just in a nutshell, what
19
         Q. (By Mr. Calfo) Okay. So let me just get
                                                                  19
                                                                        is it that you rely on for your definition of
20
     this. You did not specifically follow the Yamate
                                                                  20
                                                                        amphibole asbestos?
21
     method, and you did not conduct a detailed zone axis
                                                                  21
                                                                           A. Definition is that they're regulated and
```

Yamate in the first place.

MR. PANATIER: I'm just going to object

that that assumes that he set out to follow

SAED analysis; right?

22

23

24

they're called asbestos and that these would all have

been called asbestos by any of the protocols that we

is not asbestos when we do analysis.

use when we're dealing with what is asbestos and what

22

23

24

August 23, 2017

61 (Pages 238 to 241)

	Page 238	Page 240
1	(Deposition concluded at 4:41 p.m.)	1 COURT REPORTER DISCLOSURE
2	(Pursuant to Rule 30(e) of the Federal	Pursuant to Article 10.B. of the Rules and
3	Rules of Civil Procedure and/or OCGA 9-11-30(e),	3 Regulations of the Board of Court Reporting of the
4	signature of the witness has been reserved.)	Judicial Council of Georgia which states: "Each court 4 reporter shall tender a disclosure form at the time
5	(Original transcript sent to Mr. Calfo.)	of the taking of the deposition stating the arrangements made for the reporting services of the
6	(Original transcript sent to 141. Carrol)	certified court reporter, by the certified court
7		6 reporter, the court reporter's employer, or the referral source for the deposition, with any party to
8		7 the litigation, counsel to the parties or other entity. Such form shall be attached to the
9		8 deposition transcript," I make the following
10		disclosure:
11		I am a Georgia Certified Court Reporter. I am 10 here as a representative of Atlanta Reporters, Inc.
12		Atlanta Reporters was contacted by King & Spalding,
13		11 LLP, to provide court reporting services for the deposition. Atlanta Reporters will not be taking
14		12 this deposition under any contract that is prohibited by OCGA 15-14-37(a) and (b).
15		13 Atlanta Reporters has no contract/agreement to
16		14 provide reporting services with any party to the
17		case, any counsel in the case, or any reporter or reporting agency from whom a referral might have been
18		made to cover this deposition. Atlanta Reporters 16 will charge its usual and customary rates to all
19		parties in the case, and a financial discount will
20		17 not be given to any party to this litigation. 18
21		19 20
22		DEBRA R. LUTHER, B-881
23		21 Georgia Certified Court Reporter 22
24		23 24
25		25
	Page 239	Page 241
1	CERTIFICATE	1 DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM E. LONGO, PhD /DRL 2 I do hereby certify that I have read all
2		questions propounded to me and all answers given by 3 me on the 23rd day of August 2017, taken before
3	STATE OF GEORGIA:	Debra R. Luther, and that:
4	COUNTY OF GWINNETT:	1) There are no changes noted.
5 6	I hereby certify that the foregoing	5 2) The following changes are noted: 6 Pursuant to Rule 30(e) of the Federal Rules of
7	transcript was taken down, as stated in the	Civil Procedure and/or the Official Code of Georgia Annotated 9-11-30(e), both of which read in part:
8	caption, and the questions and answers thereto	Any changes in form or substance which you desire to 8 make shall be entered upon the depositionwith a
9	were reduced to typewriting under my direction;	statement of the reasons givenfor making them.
10	that the foregoing pages 1 through 238 represent	Accordingly, to assist you in effecting corrections, please use the form below:
11	a true, complete, and correct transcript of the	10 11 Page No Line No should read:
12	evidence given upon said hearing, and I further	12
13	certify that I am not of kin or counsel to the	Page No Line No should read:
14	parties in the case; am not in the regular	14 Page No Line No should read:
15	employ of counsel for any of said parties; nor	15
16 17	am I in anywise interested in the result of said	Page No Line No should read:
18	case. This, the 29th day of August 2017.	16
19	rins, the 27th day of August 2017.	18 Page No Line No should read:
	When of A. Sthan 2	19
20	DEBRA R. LUTHER, B-881	20 Page No Line No should read:
	DEBRA R. LUTHER, B-881 Georgia Certified Court Reporter	20 Page No Line No should read:
20 21 22	DEBRA R. LUTHER, B-881	20
20 21 22 23	DEBRA R. LUTHER, B-881	20 Page No.
20 21 22	DEBRA R. LUTHER, B-881	20